

---

<b>Subject:</b>	RE: Activity in Case 3:14-cv-03897-M-BF Nieman v. The Concrete Cowboy Bar et al Motion to Dismiss (Pltf Attempt At Negotiations / Conclusion)
<b>From:</b>	Schuetz, Jason (Jason.Schuetz@dallascityhall.com)
<b>To:</b>	nieman46804@yahoo.com;
<b>Cc:</b>	tatia.wilson@dallascityhall.com;
<b>Date:</b>	Tuesday, December 23, 2014 10:50 AM

---

Mr. Nieman,

Thank you for your e-mail. The City of Dallas defendants decline your settlement offer. We do not believe that your claims against the City defendants have any merit or settlement value. Rather, we believe that your claims already expose you to substantial risk of an adverse judgment for the City defendants' attorney's fees, in addition to costs of suit. Nonetheless, if you **immediately** agree to dismiss all of the claims against the City defendants, we will not seek those attorney's fees and costs. However, if you persist in your groundless litigation, my clients will seek all relief available to them.

**J. G. Schuetz**

Executive Assistant City Attorney

214.670.1236 (direct)

---

**From:** Jason Nieman [mailto:nieman46804@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, December 23, 2014 8:36 AM

**To:** Schuetz, Jason

**Cc:** Wilson, Tatia; Jason Nieman

**Subject:** Fw: Activity in Case 3:14-cv-03897-M-BF Nieman v. The Concrete Cowboy Bar et al Motion to Dismiss (Pltf Attempt At Negotiations / Conclusion)

Good morning,

As it appears you are co-counsel on this case I am forwarding a copy of this proposed settlement correspondence to your attention.

As is often the case, the filing of several motions to dismiss has pointed out potential areas for correction in the operative complaint. I can certainly make these corrections and will do so timely, as required, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1). However, as even the dispositive motion practice is not in total as to the City of Dallas Defendants, it would seem that you may agree that my proposed conclusion is very reasonable. Some of these items are outside of your control (waiver of billing and/or return of monies to my health insurer by Parkland, UTSWMC etc.) Still, my proposal for global conclusion is exceptionally reasonable in light of all factors.

Please confirm by the end of business on 12/29/2014 if you wish to attempt conclusion on such a basis. If not, I will finalize my amended complaint and opposition to the various dispositive motions.

Sincerely,

Jason Nieman

217 836 7126

----- Forwarded Message -----

**From:** Jason Nieman <[nieman46804@yahoo.com](mailto:nieman46804@yahoo.com)>

**To:** "[andy@txmunicipallaw.com](mailto:andy@txmunicipallaw.com)" <[andy@txmunicipallaw.com](mailto:andy@txmunicipallaw.com)>; "[brett@txmunicipallaw.com](mailto:brett@txmunicipallaw.com)" <[brett@txmunicipallaw.com](mailto:brett@txmunicipallaw.com)>; "[sherry@txmunicipallaw.com](mailto:sherry@txmunicipallaw.com)" <[sherry@txmunicipallaw.com](mailto:sherry@txmunicipallaw.com)>

**Cc:** Jason Nieman <[nieman46804@yahoo.com](mailto:nieman46804@yahoo.com)>; Tatia Wilson <[tatia.wilson@dallascityhall.com](mailto:tatia.wilson@dallascityhall.com)>; "[JKornely@watsoncaraway.com](mailto:JKornely@watsoncaraway.com)" <[JKornely@watsoncaraway.com](mailto:JKornely@watsoncaraway.com)>; "[JParma@watsoncaraway.com](mailto:JParma@watsoncaraway.com)" <[JParma@watsoncaraway.com](mailto:JParma@watsoncaraway.com)>

**Sent:** Monday, December 22, 2014 4:08 PM

**Subject:** Fw: Activity in Case 3:14-cv-03897-M-BF Nieman v. The Concrete Cowboy Bar et al Motion to Dismiss

PREPARED IN A GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO CONCLUDE A LEGAL DISPUTE; NOT SUBJECT TO ADMISSION FOR ANY PURPOSE PURSUANT TO F.R.E. 404(B) AND/OR 408

Good afternoon,

I have reviewed your filing, and frankly it was not unexpected to the greatest degree. However, you are also aware that pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1) I should be able to correct any actual or alleged defects. For example, the relief sought is not limited to money damages. Rather, I am trying to put an end to the efforts to wrongfully collect alleged medical charges against me and to bring about the refund of already secured monies to my insurer. Such relief appears to be allowed notwithstanding sovereign immunity arguments.

In any event, I would very much like to avoid a long and drawn out legal dispute with the various Defendants. Their own respective records will show that I tried to engage with the various parties prior to instituting litigation, but that they refused my reasonable efforts at nearly every turn.

I am primarily seeking the following relief from the defendants who have already appeared, and/or who have been served (including Dallas Fire EMS):

- (1) An agreement to quash and forgive any alleged or actual charges for hospital, medical, ambulance transport, or similar charges. Such forgiveness would be total and would have no adverse impact on my credit in any way.
- (2) An agreement to return the monies seized and/or secured from my insurer (United Health Care). I believe this primarily relates to UTSWMC and totals approximately \$40,000. Such an agreement would have no adverse affect on me or my family as to financial status, credit rating or filings, and so on.
- (3) I am seeking reimbursement of the costs that were required to return to Dallas a few weeks after 9/15/2014 to attend the meeting that Parkland and/or UTSWMC Defendants prevented me from attending, by force. The costs are approximately \$1,000 accounting for airfare, hotel, mileage, parking, taxi service, and lost wages. I would suggest we simply call that amount \$1,000 but I can document the costs if needed.
- (4) I am seeking reimbursement of my filing fees and service costs as to the litigation. This should be about \$600.00 to date and I would suggest that we simply agree on that amount.

Because I consider this entire matter as something best concluded quickly for reasons of mutual benefit, I am willing to consider full and final release of all claims as to all defendants for the additional consideration of \$2,500.00 USD. The release would contain a mutual release of all claims, covenant not to sue, and an agreement that each side would bear its own costs and or fees, with neither party set admitting fault to the other. This is exceptionally reasonable considering that I have what appears to be permanent scars on my face, and am continuing to suffer issues in my rib cage (apparently from the actions of EMS staff in restraining me by force) and to some extent from the unauthorized entry and removal of a catheter by the UTSWMC/Parkland defendants.

As you know I am permitted 21 days from your filing in which to file a response and amended complaint (the latter as a matter of right). I ask that the various Defendant representatives respond to me no later than by the end of the day on Monday, 12/29/2014 if you would like to entertain this type of conclusion as to our disputes globally, and/or as to the various Defendants. Otherwise I will timely file my opposition to the dispositive motion as well as my 1st amended complaint.

I believe you are duty bound to communicate this proposal to your clients. Additionally, if one or more of the defendant sets, or defendants as a group wish to entertain such conclusion, please forward me a copy of a proposed full and final release of all claims..

If I do not communicate with any or all of you prior to December 25, 2014, I wish everyone a Merry Christmas and/or a happy holiday season.

Sincerely,

Jason Nieman  
217 836 7126

----- Forwarded Message -----

**From:** "[ecf\\_txnd@txnd.uscourts.gov](mailto:ecf_txnd@txnd.uscourts.gov)" <[ecf\\_txnd@txnd.uscourts.gov](mailto:ecf_txnd@txnd.uscourts.gov)>

**To:** [Courtmail@txnd.uscourts.gov](mailto:Courtmail@txnd.uscourts.gov)

**Sent:** Monday, December 22, 2014 3:05 PM

**Subject:** Activity in Case 3:14-cv-03897-M-BF Nieman v. The Concrete Cowboy Bar et al Motion to Dismiss

**This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.**

**\*\*\*NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS\*\*\*** Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the free copy and 30 page limit do not apply.

If you need to know whether you must send the presiding judge a paper copy of a document that you have docketed in this case, click here: [Judges' Copy Requirements](#). Unless exempted, attorneys who are not admitted to practice in the Northern District of Texas must seek admission promptly. [Forms and Instructions](#) found at [www.txnd.uscourts.gov](http://www.txnd.uscourts.gov). If admission requirements are not satisfied within 21 days, the clerk will notify the presiding judge.

**U.S. District Court  
Northern District of Texas**

**Notice of Electronic Filing**

The following transaction was entered by Hudson, Eric on 12/22/2014 at 3:05 PM CST and filed on 12/22/2014

**Case Name:** Nieman v. The Concrete Cowboy Bar et al

**Case Number:** [3:14-cv-03897-M-BF](#)

**Filer:** University of Texas Southwest Medical Center of Dallas

**Document Number:** [11](#)

**Docket Text:**

**MOTION to Dismiss filed by University of Texas Southwest Medical Center of Dallas (Attachments: # (1) Proposed Order) (Hudson, Eric)**

**3:14-cv-03897-M-BF Notice has been electronically mailed to:**

Jason Nieman [nieman46804@yahoo.com](mailto:nieman46804@yahoo.com)

Wm Andrew Messer [andy@txmunicipallaw.com](mailto:andy@txmunicipallaw.com), [brett@txmunicipallaw.com](mailto:brett@txmunicipallaw.com),  
[sherry@txmunicipallaw.com](mailto:sherry@txmunicipallaw.com)

**3:14-cv-03897-M-BF** The CM/ECF system has NOT delivered notice electronically to the names listed below. The clerk's office will serve notice of court Orders and Judgments by mail as required by the federal rules. An attorney/pro se litigant is cautioned to carefully follow the

**federal rules (see FedRCivP 5) with regard to service of any document the attorney/pro se litigant has filed with the court. The clerk's office will not serve paper documents on behalf of an attorney/pro se litigant.**

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

**Document description:**Main Document

**Original filename:**n/a

**Electronic document Stamp:**

[STAMP dcecfStamp\_ID=1004035775 [Date=12/22/2014] [FileNumber=8157675-0] [18e490d578e8fad18955973f37a9f46a1917ac1cf7e03d5929eac77da62bbf6105bb5e80568eff2d3c6dd3a2e8c31778ef9f266d4abe941f7bdc428539ac5201]]

**Document description:**Proposed Order

**Original filename:**n/a

**Electronic document Stamp:**

[STAMP dcecfStamp\_ID=1004035775 [Date=12/22/2014] [FileNumber=8157675-1] [8944738f6c93654dc9ae79063dd5af9d07951c626c1c76fa363e8632394540d1c2f06c04ff0d6545c6531551c20893c8622d20638eb9cd6b064ec93360cf23a6]]